Agendalight Document Accessibility — Sample Batch Report

SAMPLE ONLY. Prepared Nov 18, 2026 and re-checked Nov 21, 2026 from 6 public documents posted on city websites. No city asked for or received this work, and no city has been contacted.

Result: all 6 files passed the listed automated checks (re-run 2026-11-21). Agent-tested only: no person has tested them with a screen reader.

Automated checks: veraPDF 1.30.4 (PDF/UA-1, the ISO 14289-1 machine-checkable rules), a structure check, a text comparison with each original, and an agent-run screen-reader simulation (a script, not a person), axe-core 4 on the HTML versions (0 violations), and an agent-driven run of the free Orca 48 screen reader in Google Chrome (keystrokes sent by a script; spoken output captured as text). None of these is a human test.

Document	Pages	Failed checks before	After	Main fixes
Salix, IA — 2026 council minutes	20	2,332	0	Untagged file rebuilt as tagged PDF + HTML; headings, lists, language, title
Stacyville, IA — 10/12/2026 proceedings	2	1	0	Headings; PDF/UA identifier; layout unchanged
Walnut Grove, MN — 10/13/2026 minutes	2	2	0	Document title; headings; PDF/UA identifier
Slater, IA — Fall 2026 newsletter	12	42	0	Alt text for 24 images; 14 decorative images hidden from screen readers; full text for 10 images of text, plus a separate text version; reading order set on pages 1, 11, 12 and checked by an agent against the page images. A contrast-corrected copy of the designed PDF (dark panels behind white text on page 1, darker text on page 4; for the city's approval) leaves 1 small live-text flag; text inside images still fails on pages 4, 5, 7 and 9, so the separate text version is the accessible copy.
Frazee, MN — 2026 fee schedule (scanned table)	4	52	0	Image-only scan turned into text (OCR) and 22 data tables with headers; colour-only "proposed change" marks turned into a Yes/No column
Kenyon, MN — building permit (fillable form)	2	261	0	43 form fields named and labelled; "required" in words; tab order; same field names as before

Which WCAG 2.1 AA checks a machine can do, and which need a person

WCAG 2.1 success criterion	Machine-checked here	Needs a human
1.1.1 Non-text content	Alt text is present; decorative images are artifacts	Alt text is accurate and useful
1.3.1 Info and relationships	Tags, headings, lists, table headers, form labels exist	Structure matches meaning (right heading, right table header)
1.3.2 Meaningful sequence	Simulation flags pages where tag order ≠ visual order	Reading order makes sense when heard
1.4.1 Use of colour	—	Meaning not carried by colour alone
1.4.3 Contrast (minimum)	Automated check: text color against the rendered background	Confirm flags by eye
1.4.5 Images of text	Image-only pages detected	Real text supplied and correct
2.4.2 Page titled	Title present and shown	Title describes the document
2.4.6 Headings and labels	Headings and labels exist	They describe the content
3.1.1 Language of page	Language set	—
4.1.2 Name, role, value (forms)	Every field has a name and role	Names are clear; form works with a screen reader

What is still needed before delivery

- **Human screen-reader QA (required).** A person must listen to each file with a screen reader (for example NVDA with Acrobat Reader) and check the "needs a human" column. **This sample has not had that step: it is agent-tested only. No QA log is offered until at least one human pass has been logged.** Without it, this work is close to automated tagging, which sells for about $1 per page.
- Proofreading of the OCR text (Frazee table, Slater images of text) against the originals by a person. (An agent proofread of Frazee is logged; it is not a human check.)
- Your staff's review of headings and titles.

What this report does not say. It does not certify that any document is "ADA compliant", "WCAG conformant" or legally sufficient under the ADA Title II rule (28 CFR 35.200) or any other law. It also does not decide which documents the rule covers (see the exceptions in 28 CFR 35.201). Automated tools find only part of the accessibility issues. The only claim made here is

that the files passed the listed automated checks on 2026-11-21. Legal decisions stay with the document owner and its counsel.